

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/829,066	Applicant(s) NISSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Frank W Lu	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Frank W Lu.

(2) Mr. Aaron Schwartz (Reg. No. 48,181).

Status of Application: after non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2004
Time: 2 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant agrees with the examiner's amendments.*
Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:

3, 4, 82, and 83

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Schwartz agrees with the examiner's amendments.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)